

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,695	11/06/2001	Mark Guy Trowbridge	DN1999119USA	1290	
75	590 06/27/2003				
The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company Patent and Trademark Department 1144 East Market Street			EXAMINER		
			PEZZLO, BENJAMIN A		
Akron, OH 44	316-0001		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3683	3683	
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/009,695	TROWBRIDGE, MARK GUY				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benjamin A Pezzlo	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the c ver sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to available final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which il (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•	in the final scientian wh	inhamanin lakan da			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	s Brief must be filed within the pe					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections:	tion(s)·					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	` /	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 9-11</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Re claim 1, "the retainer having an integrally formed ribbed reinforcement structure" requires only that the retainer includes a ribbed reinforcement structure that is integrally formed, see the Final Action which provides suitable claim language, "the retainer being integrally formed with the intermediate ribbed reinforcement structure". Re claim 9, Koeske's disclosure that the ribbed reinforcement structure is capable of use at the retainer or piston side of the air spring fails to teach away from the structure being made unitary with the retainer.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600